

SUMMARY OF TRAY PACK FIELD ACCEPTANCE TESTS AND RESULTS

BY
SUSAN D. GAGNE
JOAN B. KALICK
ROBERT KLUTER

MAY 1988

FINAL REPORT FEBRUARY 1984 TO AUGUST 1987

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

UNITED STATES ARMY NATICK RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER NATICK, MASSACHUSETTS 01760-5000

FOOD ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE

DISCLAIMERS

The findings contained in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such items.

DESTRUCTION NOTICE

For Classified Documents:

Follow the procedures in DoD 5200.22-M, Industrial Security Manual, Section II-19 or DoD 5200.1-R, Information Security Program Regulation, Chapter IX.

For Unclassified/Limited Distribution Documents:

Destroy by any method that prevents disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document.

İ		REPORT	DOCUMENTATIO	ON PAGE OMB No 0704-0			No 0704-018# Date: Jun 30, : 196
	SECURITY CLAS	SIFICATION		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS	6.49.	
2a. SECURIT	Y CLASSIFICATIO	N AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT			
2b. DECLAS	2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			Approved for public release, distribution: unlimited.			
A FERFORM	ING ORGANIZAT	G ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)					
NATIC	K/TR-88/065						•
İ		ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MO	ONITORING ORGA	NIZATION	
	Army Nati		STRNC-WTP				
6c. ADDRES	(City, State, an	d ZIP Code)		76. ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and ZIP	Code)	
Natic		760-5018					
8a. NAME O ORGANI	F FUNDING / SPC ZATION	DNSORING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCURÉMEN	T INSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFICATION N	IUMBER
8c. ADDRESS	(City, State, and	i ZIP Code)	<u> </u>	10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	RS	
				PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO	WORK UNIT
				1L162	786	AH 99	BB110
11 717 5 //m	clude Security C	(Institution)				//	22110
12. PERSONA Susan 13a. TYPE O	AL AUTHOR(S) D. Gagne	, Joan B. K		luter 14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month,	Day) 15. PAG 25	E COUNT
Final	ENTARY NOTAT		b 84 TOAug 87	1988, Ma	у		
16. SUPPLEIV	TENTARY NOTAL	ION					
17.	COSATI	CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revers	e if necessary and	d identify by blo	ock number)
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	TEST AND EVALU			FEEDING	MEALS
			ACCEPTANCE TES			RATINGS	FOOD
10 40(7046	T (Cantinua an		MILITARY RATIO		PACK(S)	MENU(S)	
		_					
			rizes the fiv				
			plan to field items into t				
			ustion Commit				
			ting with the				
			a minimum of				
Allit	ems were	rated on a	9-point Hedo	nic scale	(9=very g	ood; l=ve	ry poor)
			ts. From Feb				
			al of 12 fiel				
			ems from the				
			s of develope have been del				tems are
a Cali Va						- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		LITY OF ABSTRACT		21 ABSTRACT SEC		ATION	
		ED SAME AS	RPT. DTIC USERS	UNCLASSI 226 TELEPHONE (F		1 224 OFFICE 6	YMBOL
	of responsible n D. Garn			(617) 65		STRNC	
3058	II VI VIET	5			-7/00		

PREFACE

Field Feeding System. Troop acceptance and product quality are essential for a successful implementation of the total system. Field testing allowed Natick to accumulate a large data base on troop food likes and, just as importantly, dislikes, while participating in field exercises. Our major objective is to provide troops with the foods they want for one or two hot meals each day. From the field acceptance test data, Natick has been able to successfully reformulate many items, modify others, and engineer several new items. This report brings together all of the acceptance data from the five phases of field acceptance testing.

Marine Marine Commence

7

(and 1 and

A-j

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the many members of Natick's Food Engineering Directorate involved with Tray Pack foods for providing the best possible products for the tests on an accelerated basis, and the Science and Advanced Technology Directorate for providing excellent support both on and off the field test sites. In particular, we would like to thank Barbara Edelman and Captain Robert Stretch for laying excellent groundwork for these field tests.

Additionally, we would like to thank the members of the Armed Forces

Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC), specifically, Chairman, COL David D. Dee,

USA, Ms. Alice Skinner, USA, Ms. Genie Wagner, USN, Ms. Mary Alice Moring,

USMC, and Ms. Shirley Townsend, USAF, for their continued support and sincere

interest in the Tray Pack program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	Preface	111
	Acknowledgements	iv
	List of Tables	vi
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	a. Background	1
	b. Project Methodology	1
2.	TESTING SCHEDULE	3
-•	a. Phase One	3
	b. Phase Two	5
	c. Phase Three	7
	d. Phase Four	8
	e. Phase Five	10
3.	SUMMARY	11
4.	REFERENCES	13
	APPENDICES	
	a. Rating Summary by Service	14
	b. Standardized Tray Pack Items	16
	c. Sample Item Questionnaire	17
	d Sample Field Test Menu	18

LIST OF TABLES

	TABLE	PAGE
1.	Summary of Ratings for First Field Test	4
2.	Summary of Ratings for Second Field Test	6
з.	Summary of Ratings for Third Field Test	7
4.	Summary of Ratings for Fourth Field Test	9
5.	Summary of Ratings for Fifth Field Test	10
6.	Number of Items Tested in Each Phase	11
7.	Summary of Test Sites and Dates	12

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND:

Our combat forces in the future will be highly mobile, mechanized, and armor operating on an integrated battlefield. A major effort by Natick in the recent past was to define and develop a system of food service that takes maximum advantage of new food and packaging technology to provide mobility, flexibility, and responsiveness in the delivery of quality, hot meals to all troops on the battlefield.

The Tray Pack is an individual menu item packaged in a half-steam table can. The can serves as a package, heating vessel, and serving tray. The number of servings per tray is 12 or 18. These items require only heating, such as in hot water. The advent of the Tray Packs has reduced food service labor and fuel and water usage in the field.

Through FY87, 73 Tray Pack items have undergone an accelerated field acceptance testing schedule. The 73 items were divided into 44 primary items which originally made up the 14-day, 2 meals/day rotating menu, and 29 alternate items which would replace any of the primary items not found acceptable. A brief summary of the status of developed items is as follows:

Status of Developed Items

Primary 39 items standardized; 5 items deleted Alternate 25 items standardized; 4 items deleted

TOTAL 64 items standardized; 9 items deleted

PROJECT METHODOLOGY:

A plan to field acceptance test and accelerate the introduction of Tray Pack items into the supply system was approved by the Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) on 12 May 1983⁽¹⁾. The requirements called for a minimum of three field tests (Army, Air Force, and Marine Corps) for the 44

primary items. The Army and Marine Corps supplied test sites for the exercises. The Air Force could not always provide test sites due to their limited test schedules, but had agreed to accept the results of the Army and Marine Corps tests. The 29 alternate items were tested under the same plan as the primary items.

The test methodology required a minimum of two companies for each field test. One group would be fed Tray Pack items, and the other group would be fed B or A Ration counterparts. The test menu would be approved by the Services. All items were to be rated on a 9-point hedonic scale (1 = extremely bad; 9 = extremely good) by a minimum of 48 subjects.

The following criteria for acceptance or rejection of Tray Pack food items were established: a Tray Pack item that received an average statistical rating of 6 or better would be considered acceptable. Conversely, a Tray Pack food item that received a rating significantly less than 5.0 would be considered unacceptable and would have to be reformulated. If the average hedonic rating of a food item fell between 5.0 and 6.0 it would be compared to a B Ration, or if not available, to an A Ration counterpart. If not statistically less acceptable than the counterpart, the Tray Pack item would be considered acceptable. If less acceptable than its counterpart, the Tray Pack item would have to be reformulated.

Although the test plan called for both A Ration and B Ration items to be used as Tray Pack counterparts, at the November 1983 AFPEC meeting, the Services expressed reservations about the advisability of using A Rations, stating that the use of A Rations should be kept to an absolute minimum (2). In some cases, there would be no B Ration comparison item. When this occurred, each item would be judged by the same criteria as other items. However, if the rating fell between 5.0 and 6.0, the Services would decide on the acceptability.

TESTING SCHEDULE

Phase One:

The first of six field tests took place during 2Q85 with the Air Force, Marine Corps, and Army, respectively (3). Twenty-one items were scheduled to be tested. However, the acidity of the Three Bean Salad was incompatible with the trays' enamel coating and could not be used. Also, during the Air Force test, some of the Spice Cake had undissolved vitamin/mineral supplement tablets. Therefore, only 19 Tray Pack items, 17 primary items, and two alternate items were tested during Phase 1.

The Air Force test was held 16-22 January 1984 at Eglin Air Force Base, FL. In accordance with Air Force policy, three hot meals were served each day. Meals were supplemented only by bread, butter, peanut butter, and assorted beverages. A range of 52 to 184 troops tested each item.

Several problems occurred with the Air Force test. The meals were served in a large, partially heated dining shelter; the Air Force airmen received three hot meals a day, whereas the Army and Marine Corps received only two. Also, some nontest foods were brought in and eaten, which undoubtedly affected the test results. The results should be interpreted with these differences in mind.

The Marine Corps test was held on 12-15 March 1984 at Fort Bragg, NC. The menu was the same except that Roast Beef and Baked Beans did not arrive in time to be tested. Two hot Tray Pack meals were served with the Meal, Ready-to-Eat (MRE) for lunch. Supplements included bread and butter, cereal, soup, salad, and assorted beverages. No nonapproved foods were apparent. A range of 49 to 196 troops tested each item.

The Army test was held on 13-26 March 1984 at Camp McCarl, Fayetteville, NC. The overall menu included all 19 Tray Pack products to be served in the

morning and evening. The MRE was served for lunch. Supplements included bread, butter, soup, and assorted beverages. A range of 42 to 244 troops tested each item.

Consolidated sensory results for all three Services tested, including the B Ration counterpart ratings in parentheses, are found in Table 1, below.

TABLE 1. Summary of Ratings for First Field Test

ITEM	AF	MARINE	ARMY
Beef Stew Eggs & Ham Frankfurters BBQ Beef Ham	3.00 R 6.25 A (6.80) 5.77 A [1] (6.23)	7.36 A 6.61 A (4.84) 6.20 A 7.98 A (6.78) 6.54 A (6.54)	7.31 A 7.50 A (5.92) 6.51 A (6.35)
Pepper Steak Pork Slices Breakfast Bake	6.48 A 5.77 A [1] (6.67) 3.92 R	7.17 A (6.33)	7.49 A 6.78 A (4.99) 6.78 A
Macaroni & Cheese Escalloped Potatoes Baked Beans	3.95 R (5.47) 5.49 A [1][2] (5.59) 4.46 R (5.90)	7.22 A (6.57) 5.38 A [1][2] (5.92) 6.41 A (5.44) (6.31) 6.79 A (5.94)	5.94 A [1][2] (5.43) 6.04 A (5.02) 6.64 A (5.91)
	3.16 R 5.88 A [1]	5.04 [3] 4.35 R 7.90 A	5.96 A [1] 5.66 A [1] 8.03 A (6.23)

^{[1] -} Not Statistically Different (NSD) than 6.0

^{[2] -} Not Statistically Different (NSD) than B Ration counterpart

^{[3] -} AFPEC Decision

A - Accept

R - Reject

From Table 1, Orange Nut Cake was clearly below the established quality level, and Cherry Nut Cake required an AFPEC decision due to its ratings of below 6 but above 5 in two cases (4). Both items have been dropped from the program. All other items were standardized and became available for procurements.

Phase Two

The second of five tests took place during 1Q85 with the Air Force, Marine Corps, and Army (5). Twenty-one primary Tray Pack items were scheduled for testing with 15 B Ration comparison items.

The Air Force test was held 13-20 September 1984 at Eglin Air Force Base, FL. In accordance with Air Force policy, three hot meals each day were served. Only 17 Tray Pack items were tested, since Turkey/Gravy, Blueberry Cake, Rice, and Carrots were not received in time. Supplements included bread, butter, cereal, fruit, soup, salad, and assorted beverages. A range of condiments (salt, pepper, mustard, catsup, sugar, hot sauce, and coffee creamer) were also supplied. A range of 31 to 83 troops tested the items.

The Marine Corps test was conducted during 18-22 September 1984 at Fort Bragg, Fayetteville, NC. Eighteen items were tested since Turkey/Gravy, Chocolate Cake and Blueberry Cake did not arrive in time. Two hot Tray Pack meals were served each day. The MRE was eaten for lunch. Meal supplements included bread, butter, salad, soup, and beverages. Condiments, as with the Air Force Test, were also included. A range of 72 to 89 troops tested the items.

The Army test was conducted during 22-26 October 1984 at Fort Bragg,
Fayetteville, NC. All 21 items were tested. Meal supplements included bread,
butter, cereal, fruit, soup, salad, and beverages. The full complement of
condiments was again available. A range of 40 to 83 troops tested the items.

Consolidated sensory results from all three Services tested, including the B Ration results in parenthesis, are found in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Summary of Ratings for Second Field Test $\frac{3}{2}$

ITEM	<u>AF</u>	MARINE	ARMY
Pork Sausage Links	5.75 [1] A	6.39 A	6.65 A
Noodles, Buttered		5.22 [1] A	6.13 A
Potatoes, Sw, Gl		4.97 [3]	
Spice Cake		6.40 A (7.24)	
Meatloaf w/Gravy		5.90 [1] A (5.54)	
Potato Salad		5.84 [1] A (6.23)	
Peas and Mushrooms		-	
Cream, Grd, Beef			6.02 A (5.85)
Potatoes w/Butter			
Apple Coffee Cake			6.49 A (6.67)
Chicken, w/Gravy			
Chocolate Cake			4.83 R (7.46)
Egg Loaf w/Cheese			
Egg Loaf w/Mushrooms			4.65 R (3.67)
Whole Corn	•		6.83 A (5.91)
Chicken a la King			
Chocolate Pudding			4.71 R (6.65)
Turkey Sl w/Gravy	[4]	[4]	6.85 A
Blueberry Cake	[4]	[4]	6.51 A
White Rice	[4]	6.59 A (6.15)	
Sliced Carrots	[4]	6.59 [2] A (5.74)	6.17 A (6.36)

^{[1] -} NSD than 6.0

From Table 2, three items required an AFPEC decision - Buttered Noodles, Glazed, Sweet Potatoes, and Roast Chicken with Gravy (6). These items were accepted with necessary formulation changes. Three items were found unacceptable - Chocolate Cake, Egg Loaf with Cheese, and Egg Loaf with Mushrooms. However, Chocolate Cake was not dropped from the system, but major reformulation was necessary. A retest was done later. Chocolate Pudding was rejected by the Army, but was accepted by AFPEC. A retest of Chocolate Pudding was conducted later.

^{[2] -} NSD than B Ration Counterpart

^{[3] -} AFPEC decision

^{[4] -} Item unavailable; not surveyed

A - Accept

R - Reject

Phase Three:

The third of five field tests took place during 4Q85 ⁽⁷⁾. Seventeen Tray Pack items were tested with the Marine Corps and Army. Fifteen items were alternates and two were primary items retested. The experimental design and methodology were consistent with testing used for the primary items.

The Marine Corps test was held 5-8 July 1985 at Pickel Meadows Training Area, Bridgeport, CA. All 17 items were tested and were supplemented by bread, butter, condiments, and assorted beverages. Some nonapproved food items were observed during the test period, such as commercial snacks and candies. A range of 64 to 102 troops tested the items.

The Army test was conducted on 22-25 September 1985 at Fort Devens, MA. All 17 items were tested and supplemented with bread, butter, condiments, and assorted beverages. No nonapproved foods were observed during the test. A range of 56 to 104 troops tested the items.

Consolidated sensory results from the Marine Corps and Army, including the B ration counterpart ratings in parenthesis, are found in Table 3.

TABLE 3. Summary of Ratings for Third Field Test $\frac{5}{}$

ITEM	MARINE	<u>ARMY</u>
Beef Pot Roast	6.9 A	6.6 A (6.0)
Beef Tips w/Gravy	[4]	6.4 A
Chicken Breast w/Gravy	[4]	6.4 A
Chili Con Carne	7.7 A (5.3)	6.9 A (6.2)
Spaghetti w/Meatballs	7.6 A (6.3)	6.6 A (5.0)
Stuffed Peppers	7.0 A	6.6 A
Swedish Meatballs	7.2 A	6.0 A
Spanish Rice	7.0 A (5.7)	6.6 A (6.2)
Corn, Cream Style	7.7 A (6.5)	6.8 A (6.2)
Carrots, Glazed	7.1 A (5.2)	6.1 A (5.2)
Beans, Lima	6.3 A (4.8)	5.6 A [1] (5.0)
Peas & Carrots	7.1 A	6.0 A (5.8)
Blueberry Dessert	6.8 A	6.6 A

TABLE 3. Summary of Ratings for Third Field Test (cont'd)

ITEM	MARINE	ARMY
Cherry Dessert	7.2 A	7.0 A
Chocolate Cake	[5]	4.9 R [2] (6.7)
Chocolate Pudding	[5]	5.7 A [3]
Fruit Cake	4.1 R	4.4 R

- [1] NSD than 6.0
- [2] NSD than B Ration counterpart
- [3] Not significantly less than 6.0
- [4] Item unavailable; not surveyed
- [5] Incorrect procurement provided; not surveyed
- A Accept
- R Reject

All items except three--Chocolate Cake, Chocolate Pudding, and Fruit Cake--were found acceptable. Chocolate Cake and Chocolate Pudding were retested during Phase Four. Fruit Cake was dropped from the system.

Phase Four:

The fourth of five field teste took place during 2086 with the Air Force, Army, and Marine Corps ⁽⁹⁾. Fourteen menu items were scheduled to be field acceptance tested per the criteria for the primary items. Macaroni Salad was not available for the test due to lack of production bids; therefore, 13 items were tested. Eleven alternate items were tested, which included two previously partially tested items. Two primary items required retesting.

The Air Force test was conducted 5-18 January 1986 at Eglin Air Force Base, FL. Both Tray Pack and B Ration meals were supplemented with bread, butter, salad, a full range of condiments, and assorted beverages. A range of 66 to 99 troops tested the Tray Pack items.

The Army test was conducted 23-28 February 1986 at Fort Polk, LA. All meals were supplemented with bread, butter, soup, salad, condiments, and assorted beverages. A range of 81 to 94 troops tested the items.

The Marine Corps test was conducted 10-13 March 1986 at Fort Bragg, NC. All meals were supplemented with bread, butter, soup, salad, condiments, and assorted beverages.

Consolidated sensory results for all three Services tested, including the B Ration counterpart ratings in parenthesis, are found in Table 4, below.

TABLE 4. Summary of Ratings for Fourth Field Test 7/

ITEM	<u>AF</u>	MARINE	ARMY
Swiss Steak w/Gravy	6.71 A (6.09)	6.43 A (6.79)	5.62 [1] A
Chicken Cacciatore	6.11 A (6.58)	6.60 A (6.73)	6.14 A (5.74)
Meatballs/Cabbage	6.89 A	7 34 A	6.92 A
Chicken Breasts/Gravy	6.24 A		6.05 A
Beef/Macaroni	5.81 A [1] (6.01)	6.36 A (5.22)	6.12 A (5.00)
Chicken/Noodles	6.43 A	6.83 A	5.93 A [1]
Chicken Stew	5.94 A [1] (6.0)	6.60 A (6.31)	6.63 A (5.94)
Potatoes w/Chic Sauce	5.39 R (6.44)	5.11 R (6.48)	5.11 R (6.04)
Lima Beans	5.15 3 (6.29)		
Chocolate Pudding	6.73 A (6.71)	6.80 A (6.92)	6.92 A [1] (6.83)
Marble Cake	6.58 A (6.77)	6.01 A	5.52 A [1] (6.50)
Pound Cake	5.80 A [1] (6.38)	5.38 R (6.78)	5.00 [3] (7.41)
Chocolate Cake	6.30 A (6.89)	4.37 R (6.90)	5.02 R (7.55)
Macaroni Salad	[4]	[4]	[4]

^{[1] -} NSD than 6.0

All seven entrees (items 1 to 7 above) were found acceptable. The Potatoes w/Chicken Sauce item was rejected and the Lima Beans required an AFPEC decision. At the 7-8 May 1986(10) AFPEC meeting, the members voted to drop both items from the program. The new Chocolate Pudding formulation was retested and found

^{[2] -} NSD than B Ration counterpart

^{[3] -} AFPEC Decision

^{[4] -} Item unavailable; not surveyed

A - Accept

R - Reject

acceptable. The three cakes were borderline; however, AFPEC voted to accept the Marble Cake and delay action on Chocolate and Pound Cakes to the July 1986 meeting. Standardization action was then taken to include all accepted items into the program.

At the 10 July 1986 AFPEC meeting, Chocolate Cake and Pound Cake were evaluated by the Committee and found acceptable (11). Standardization action was taken to include these cakes in the program.

Phase Five

The last field test was conducted with the Army at Fort Ord, CA, during 25-26 August 1987. The final two alternate menu items, Pork with BBQ Sauce and Macaroni Salad, were evaluated. Additionally, White Rice was retested due to a change in formulation from a pH controlled item to a fully retorted item.

The test was held at Fort Hunter-Liggett at Fort Ord with the 7th Support and Transport Battalion. A range of 82 to 105 troops tested all items. The results are given in Table 5:

TABLE 5. Summary of Ratings, Phase Five

ITEM	ARMY	
Pork w/BBQ Sauce	6.78	A
Macaroni Salad	6.71	A
White Rice	6.71	A

A = Accept

R = Reject

These data were presented to the AFPEC at the 5 November 1987 meeting. The three items were accepted for inclusion into the supply system.

SUMMARY:

The five tests conclude the accelerated program for field acceptance testing of the 44 primary and 29 alternate Tray Pack items.

A summary of the number and types of items tested during each phase is found in Table 6, below.

TABLE 6. Number of Items Tested in Each Phase

	Total Item Tested	Primary	Alternate	Retest
Phase I	19	17	2	
Phase II	21	21		
Phase III	17		15	2
Phase IV	13		9	4
Phase V	3		2	1
Never Teste	al <u>7</u>	6	1_	
Totals	80	4 4	29	7

1The five fruits in #10 cans were never supplied in Tray Packs due to the lack of bids from suppliers. The Three-Bean Salad and Stewed Tomatoes were deleted prior to field testing.

The Tray Pack items are no longer separated into two groups, but are now consolidated into one listing. The 14-day, two meal/day, cyclic menu includes the best items from the 73 rated items based on the field test data.

A summary of test sites and dates is shown in Table 7; a summary of ratings by Services is found in Appendix A; and a summary of standardized items with their respective National Stock Numbers (NSNs) is found in Appendix B.

TABLE 7. Summary of Test Sites and Dates

Phase I	USAF Eglin AFB, FL	16-22 Jan 84
	USMC Ft. Bragg, NC	12-15 Mar 84
	USA Ft. Bragg, NC	23-26 Mar 84
Phase II	USAF Eglin AFB, FL	13-20 Sep 84
	USMC Ft. Bragg, NC	18-21 Sep 84
	USA Ft. Bragg, NC	23-26 Oct 84
Phase III	USMC Bridgeport, CA	5-8 Jul 85
	USA Ft. Devens, MA	22-25 Sep 85
Phase IV	USAF Eglin AFB, FL	6-17 Jan 86
	USA Ft. Polk, LA	24-27 Feb 86
	USMC Ft. Bragg, NC	10-13 Mar 86
Phase V	USA Ft. Ord, CA	24-26 Aug 87

REFERENCES

- 1. Plan to Field Test and Introduce Tray Packs into the Supply System. Approved 12 May 1983.
- 2. Minutes of the Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee, November 1983. Distributed 29 December 1983.
- 3. Edelman, B., Acceptance Ratings of Tray Pack Items: A Preliminary Report. Technical Note, NATICK/TN-84/007, June 1984.
- 4. Minutes of the Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee, July 1984. Distributed August 1984.
- 5. Edelman, B., Kluter, R. and Yates, D., Acceptance Ratings of Tray Pack Items Phase II. Technical Note, NATICK/TN-85/001, July 1985.
- 6. Minutes of the Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee, January 1985. Distributed August 1984.
- 7. Kluter, R., and Stretch, R., Acceptance Ratings for Tray Pack Items Phase III. Technical Note, NATICK/TN-86/001, December 1985.
- 8. Minutes of the Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee, November 1985. Distributed 27 February 1985.
- 9. Kluter, R., and Stretch, R., Acceptance Ratings for Tray Pack Items Phase IV. Technical Note, NATICK/TN-86/004, March 1986.
- 10. Minutes of the Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee, 7-8 May 1986. Distributed 27 June 1986.
- 11. Minutes of the Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee, 9-10 July 1986. Distributed 2 September 1986.
- 12. Field Acceptance Test Plan for U.S. Army Test of New Tray Pack Dinner Items, 7 August 1987.
- 13. Information Paper (3 August 1987). Subject: Tray Pack Field Test of Dinner Items.

APPENDIX A RATING SUMMARY BY SERVICE

PRIMARY ITEMS (44)	ARMY		MARIN	NES	AIR FO	AIR FORCE	
Apple Dessert Applesauce ²	MEAN 8.03	SD 1.21			MEAN 6.69	SD 1.69	
Beans, Green	7 .02	1.61					
Beans w/Bacon Sauce	6.64	1.96				2.28	
Beef in BBQ Sauce	7.50		7.98		6.25		
Beef, Ground, Creamed	6.02	1.97	6.05	2.11	5.6	2.07	
Beef Strips in Gr Peppers	7.49			2.12			
Beef Roast w/Mushroom Gravy	6.64	1.87					
Beef Stew	8.27	0.95	7.36	1.08	7.25		
Breakfast Cake	6.78				3.92		
Cake, Coffee Apple	6.49			1.99		1.71	
Cake, Blueberry	6.51	1.86					
Cake, Cherry Nut ¹	5.66	2.63	4.12	2.26	5.88		
Cake, Chocolate	4.83	2.57			4.63	1.97	
Retest	5.02	1.90	4.37	2.20	6.30	1.68	
Cake, Orange Nut ¹	5.02	2.61	5.04		3.16	2.21	
Cake, Spice	6.68	2.14	6.40	1.97			
Canadian Bacon	6.87	1.65	6.83	1.50	4.78	1.86	
Retest					5.6	2.27	
Carrots, Sliced	6.17			2.37			
Chicken A La King	7.38		6.30	1.74	6.61	1.62	
Chicken Roast w/Gravy	6.52		5.54	2.09	5.27	1.9 5	
Corn, Whole Kernel	6.52	1.57	6.34	2.09 1.82	6.39	1.48	
Egg Loaf w/ Cheddar Cheese ¹	4.09	2.14	3.33	1.86	2.95	2.24	
Egg Loaf w/ Mushrooms1	4.65	2.50	3.33	1.86	2.95	2.24	
Eggs, Scrambled w/Ham	6.59	1.67	6.61			2.00	
Escalloped Potatoes	6.04	1.89	6.41	2.00		2.02	
Frankfurters in Brine	7.30	1.44	6.20	1.83	3.00	1.70	
Fruit Cocktail 2							
Ham Slices	6.50	1.57	6.56	1.69	5.57	1.90	
Macaroni & Cheese	5.94		5.38		3.95	2.36	
Meatloaf w/Mushroom Gravy	6.00	2.05 1.87	5.90	2.03 1.17	5.43	2.13	
Noodles, Buttered	6.13	1.87	5.02	1.17	5.02	1.71	
Peaches, Sliced 2							
Pears, Sliced 2							
Peas and Mushrooms	6.67	2.12	6.63	1.95	6.54	2.05	
Pineapple ²							
Pork Sausage Links	6.65	1.78		1.78	5.75	1.39	
Potato Salad	4.99	2.58	5.80		5.12	2.22	
Potatoes, Sweet, Glazed	6.24	2.09	4.97	2.31	4.81	1.79	
Retest					6.10	1.94	
Potatoes w/Butter Sauce	6.04	1.89	6.41	2.00	5.20	2.02	

APPENDIX A RATING SUMMARY BY SERVICE

PRIMARY ITEMS (continued)	ARM	Y	MAR:	INES	AIR I	FORCE
Retest Pudding, Chocolate Retest	MEAN 6.27 4.71 5.70	SD 1.58 2.57	6.14 5.49	1.95 2.20	MEAN 5.52	SD 2.29
Retest Rice, White Retest	6.80 7.07 6.71	2.19 1.51 1.80	6.59			
Three Bean Salad ³ Turkey Slices w/Gravy Vegetables, Mixed	6.85 6.77	1.54 1.63	 6.47	2.00		
ALTERNATE ITEMS (29)						
Beans, Lima ¹ Beef, Pot Roast w/Gravy Beef Tips w/Gravy Blueberry Dessert Beef Swiss Steak Cake, Fruit ¹	6.6	1.9 1.8 1.8 1.7 1.68 2.5	6.9 6.8 5.62	1.6	5.15 6.71	
Cake, Marble Cake, Pound Carrots, Glazed Cherry Dessert Chicken Breasts w/Gravy Chicken Cacciatore	6.01 5.38 6.1 7.0 6.4 6.60		5.52 5.00 7.0 7.2	2.20 2.24 1.6 1.6 1.85	5.80	1.88 1.88
Chicken Stew Chicken and Noodles Chili Con Carne Corn, Sweet, Creamstyle Lasagna Macaroni w/Beef and Sauce	6.60 6.83 6.9 6.8 7.15 6.36	1.68	7.7 7.39	2.26 1.2 1.2 1.26	6.43 6.43 6.32	1.60
Macaroni Salad Meatballs, Swedish Meatballs w/Rice & Cabbage Peas and Carrots Peppers, Green Stuffed Potatoes in Chicken Sauce ¹	6.71 6.0 7.34 6.0 6.6 5.1	2.15 2.0 1.37 1.9 1.5 2.06	7.2 6.92 7.1 7.0 5.1	1.63	6.89	
Pork in BBQ Sauce Pork slices/Gravy Rice, Spanish Spaghetti W/Meatballs Tomatoes, Stewed ³	6.78 6.78 6.6 6.6	1.90 1.62 1.7 1.4	7.15 7.6 7.0	1.50 1.3 1.8	5.77	1.99

^{1 -} Item deleted from program
2 - Items procured in #10 cans due to lack of primary supplier
3 - Item deleted prior to testing

APPENDIX B

STANDARDIZED TRAY PACK ITEMS

<u>Item</u>	NSN	<u>Item</u>	NSN
Beef Stew	8940-01-009-7993	Sliced Turkey/Gravy	8940-01-143-3326
Beef/BBQ Sauce	8940-01-010-0881	Buttered Noodles	8940-01-151-5844
Beef Pepper Steak	8940-01-123-2191	Meatloaf/Gravy	8940-01-151-6919
Ham Slices	8905-01-143-3326	Glazed Sweet Potatoes	8940-01-153-0710
Spice Cake	8920-01-144-0565	Creamed Ground Beef	8940-01-151-5845
Franks in Brine	8905-01-124-88628	Pork Sausage Links	8905-01-151-6920
Roast Beef/Gravy	8940-01-150-2857	Apple Coffee Cake	8920-01-151-6922
Canadian Bacon	8905-01-151-2488	Potatoes/Butter Sauce	8940-01-152-6821
Eggloaf/Ham	8940-01-151-4134	Whole Kernel Corn	8915-01-151-7947
Green Beans	8915-01-150-2861	Sliced Carrots	8915-01-151-6914
Breakfast Bake	8920-01-150-8381	Chicken ala King	8940-01-154-3525
Escalloped Potatoes	8940-01-147-6362	Potato Salad	8940-01-162-2178
Macaroni/Cheese	8940-01-150-2860	Peas/Mushrooms	8915-01-165-4928
Mixed Vegetables	8915-01-150-2859	Rice	8920-01-151-8019
Apple Dessert	8940-01-147-7855	Blueberry Cake	8920-01-166-3576
Beans/Bacon	8915-01-147-7853	Apple Sauce 1/	8915-00-127-8272
Peaches/Syrup $\frac{1}{2}$	8915-00-577-4203	Fruit Cocktail $\frac{1}{2}$	8915-00-286-5482
Pineapple/Syrup $\frac{1}{}$	8915-00-170-5148	Pears/Syrup ¹	8915-00-616-0223
Pork Slices/Gravy	8940-01-010-4843	Glazed Carrots	8940-01-151-6910
Blueberry Dessert	8940-01-151-5464	Swedish Meatballs	8940-01-123-1585
Cherry Dessert	8940-01-152-5507	Peas/Carrots	8915-01-151-6917
Lasagna	8940-01-124-4544	Spanish Rice	8940-01-152-0479
Chili con Carne	8940-01-124-4544	Spanish Rice	8940-01-151-6913
Beef Pot Roast	8940-01-123-1584	Spaghetti/Meatballs	8940-01-151-6921
Creamed Style Corn	8915-01-151-9936	Beef Tips/Gravy	8940-01-173-2427
Marble Cake	8920-01-173-1939	Meatballs/Cabbage	8940-01-173-2432
Chicken Stew	8940-01-173-2430	Macaroni/Beef	8940-01-173-2428
Chix Breasts/Gravy	8940-01-173-4839	Swiss Steak	8940-01-151-4185
Chicken Noodles	8940-01-173-2431	Chocolate Pudding	8940-01-159-156 9
Chicken Cacciatore	8940-01-173-2429	Chocolate Cake	8920-01-151-8839
Sliced Chicken/Gravy	8940-01-153-8540	Pound Cake	8920-01-173-1940
Macaroni Salad	8940-01-173-1875	BBQ Pork	8940-01-151-6918
Orange Nut Cake $\frac{2}{2}$	8920-02-144-0564	Eggloaf/Cheese $\frac{2}{2}$	8940-01-154-1927
Cherry Nut Cake $\frac{2}{2}$	8920-01-144-0563	Eggloaf/Mushrooms 🗝	8940-01-160-1528
Three Bean Salad =	8915-01-147-6363	Fruit Cake 🛂	8940-01-173-4843
Lima Beans "	8915-01-151-5460	Stewed Tomatoes	8940-01-173-1877
Pot/Chicken Sauce $\frac{2}{}$	8940-01-173-18 7ö		

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Item procured in \$10 can Item deleted from program

Food Survey Form

Date	Meal Type	

We would like your help in evaluating each of the following food items for how acceptable the foods are and how satisfied you are with their appearance and portion size. For each of these characteristics, circle the number that best expresses your opinion. Please fill this in yourself without discussing it with your friends.

1. Please rate the $\frac{\text{acceptability}}{\text{of each food item in your meal by circling the number that best expresses your opinion.}$

9 Extremely Good	9 Extremely Good	9 Extremely Good	9 Extremely Good
8 Very Good	8 Very Good	8 Very Good	8 Very Good
7 Moderately Good	7 Moderately Good	7 Moderately Good	7 Moderately Good
6 Slightly Good	6 Slightly Good	6 Slightly Good	6 Slightly Good
5 Neutral	5 Neutral	5 Neutral	5 Neutral
4 Slightly Bad	4 Slightly Bad	4 Slightly Bad	4 Slightly Bad
3 Moderately Bad	3 Moderately Bad	3 Moderately Bad	3 Moderately Bad
2 Very Bad	2 Very Bad	2 Very Bad	2 Very Bad
1 Extremely Bad	1 Extremely Bad	1 Extremely Bad	1 Extremely Bad

2. Please rate your satisfaction with the portion size of each food item.

9 Extremely Good	9 Extremely Good	9 Extremely Good
8 Very Good	8 Very Good	8 Very Good
7 Moderately Good	7 Moderately Good	7 Moderately Good
6 Slightly Good	6 Slightly Good	6 Slightly Good
5 Neutral	5 Neutral	5 Neutral
4 Slightly Bad	4 Slightly Bad	4 Slightly Bad
3 Moderately Bad	3 Moderately Bad	3 Moderately Bad
2 Very Bad	2 Very Bad	2 Very Bad
1 Extremely Bad	1 Extremely Bad	1 Extremely Bad
	8 Very Good 7 Moderately Good 6 Slightly Good 5 Neutral 4 Slightly Bad 3 Moderately Bad 2 Very Bad	8 Very Good 7 Moderately Good 6 Slightly Good 5 Neutral 6 Slightly Bad 7 Moderately Good 5 Neutral 7 Moderately Bad 7 Moderately Bad 7 Moderately Bad 7 Moderately Bad 7 Moderately Bad 7 Moderately Bad 7 Very Bad 7 Very Bad

3. Please rate your satisfaction with the appearance of each food item.

9 Extremely Good	9 Extremely Good	9 Extremely Good
	-	· · ·
8 Very Good	8 Very Good	8 Very Good
7 Moderately Good	7 Moderately Good	7 Moderately Good
6 Slightly Good	6 Slightly Good	6 Slightly Good
5 Neutral	5 Neutral	5 Neutral
4 Slightly Bad	4 Slightly Bad	4 Slightly Bad
3 Moderately Bad	3 Moderately Bad	3 Moderately Bad
2 Very Bad	2 Very Bad	2 Very Bad
1 Extremely Bad	1 Extremely Bad	1 Extremely Bad
	7 Moderately Good 6 Slightly Good 5 Neutral 4 Slightly Bad 3 Moderately Bad 2 Very Bad	7 Moderately Good 7 Moderately Good 6 Slightly Good 6 Slightly Good 5 Neutral 5 Neutral 4 Slightly Bad 3 Moderately Bad 2 Very Bad 2 Very Bad

4. Please rate this meal for overall acceptability.

- 9 Extremely Good
- 8 Very Good
- 7 Moderately Good
- 6 Slightly Good
- 5 Neutral

- 4 Slightly Good
- 3 Moderately Bad
- 2 Very Bad
- 1 Extremely Bad

T-Ration M enu for the Army/Marine Corps (Four days)

	Breakfast	Dinner
Day 1	T-9 (Breakfast) Fruit Cocktail Breakfast Bake Canadian Bacon Baked Beans Bread/Butter or Margarine Coffee/Tea/Milk/Cocca	T-1 Lasagna Green Beans Spice Cake Apple Sauce Bread/Butter or Margarine Lemon Lime Beverage Coffee/Tea/Milk
Day 2	T-10 (Breakfast) Apple Sauce Eggs/Ham Scalloped Potatoes Bread/Butter or Margarine Coffee/Tea/Milk/Cocca	T-2 Franks/Brine Baked Beans Peas Orange Nut Cake Bread/Butter or Margarine Cherry Beverage Coffee/Tea/Milk
Day 3	T-9 (Breakfast) Fruit Cocktail Breakfast Bake Canadian Bacon Baked Beans Bread/Butter or Margarine Coffee/Tea/Milk/Cocoa	T-3 Ham Slices/Brine Macaroni/Cheese Mixed Vegetables Pears/Syrup Bread/Butter or Margarine Orange Beverage Coffee/Tea/Milk
Day 4	T-10 (Breakfast) Apple Sauce Eggs/Ham Scalloped Potatoes Bread/Butter or Margarine Coffee/Tea/Milk/Cocoa	T-4 Pepper Steak Scalloped Potatoes Green Beans Cherry Nut Cake Bread/Butter or Margarine

Lemonade Beverage Coffee/Tea/Milk